

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/176,171	10/21/1998	DOREEN Y. CHENG	PHA23.503	7868	
75	90 03/11/2002				
CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL			EXAMINER		
U S PHILIPS C 580 WHITE PL TARRYTOWN			PHAM, TO	PHAM, TOAN NGOC	
TARRITOWN	, 141 10391		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2632	-	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/176,171

Toan Pham

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

2632

Cheng



THE REFLY FILED	The MAILING D	ATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
a) Note period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP \$ 706.07 (fl)), the period for reply expire so in the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR Continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fiel have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The later is the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final repetion, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (b) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) as expanded intrinctions. 7. The affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) @ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowa	THE REPLY FILED <u>Jan</u> further action by the appunder 37 CFR 1.113 may allowance; (2) a timely fi	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, icant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination
b) no view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP \$ 706.07 (ff)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the period on the reply expire later than 5K MORTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the period under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the sproporiate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (11) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above; (i chocked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Actino, NR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee the date of 27 CFR 1.179(a) is activated from: 11 the expiration date of the shortened stuttory period for reply originally mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), the after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), the after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), the after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), the after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), the after the final rejection the required for the replace and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b), and the period set for the mailing date of the final rejection the required for the replace and patent for the final rejection of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requirist fees. 3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) The proposed amendment adjustment for the replace for final proposed or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (b) They are not determed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (c) They are part adjustment by the fill d	a) 💢 The period for rep	y expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (11) the expiration date of the shar freeded statutory period to reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semand patent term adjustment. So TCFR 1.191(d)). A Notice of Appeal was filed on	expires on the ma is later. In no eve	iling date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. □ The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 3. □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. ☒ The affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner believes that the applied references of Bassett et al. (5,706,191), Rietkerk (5,748,083) and Hall et al. (5,898,831) meet the claimed limitations. 7. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Nane Claim(s) dejected to:Nane Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on	extension fee have been appropriate extension fee set in the final Office acti	filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally on; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the
requisite fees. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)		I was filed on <u>Jan 31, 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	requisite fees.	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	_ ' '	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)		
issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner believes that the applied references of Bassett et al. (5,706,191), Rietkerk (5,748,083) and Hall et al. (5,898,831) meet the claimed limitations. 7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Exminer. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner believes that the applied references of Bassett et al. (5, 706, 191), Rietkerk (5, 748,083) and Hall et al. (5,898,831) meet the claimed limitations. 7. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s)		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	NOTE:	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)		
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. The a) filidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner believes that the applied references of Bassett et al. (5, 706, 191), Rietkerk (5, 748,083) and Hall et al. (5,898,831) meet the claimed limitations. 7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on	4. Applicant's reply i	nas overcome the following rejection(s):
application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner believes that the applied references of Bassett et al. (5,706,191), Rietkerk (5,748,083) and Hall et al. (5,898,831) meet the claimed limitations. 7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on		
by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on	application in cond <u>The Examiner beli</u>	lition for allowance because: eves that the applied references of Bassett et al. (5,706,191), Rietkerk (5,748,083) and Hall et al.
Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on		
Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on	8. X For purposes of A	opeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claim(s) rejected: 17-33 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on	Claim(s) allowed:	Vone
9. The proposed drawing correction filed ona) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner. 10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). DANIEL WU DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	Claim(s) objected	to: None
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). DANIEL JWU Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Claim(s) rejected:	17-33
11. Other:		1
11. Other: Primary Examiner 03/07/0	10. ☐ Note the attached I	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
1953-306-3038	11.□ Other: 7	ham Primary Examiner
		7-3-306-3038